

Matter 26 – Developer contributions, implementation and monitoring

Issue - Is the Plan's approach to developer contributions justified, effective and consistent with national policy? Does the Plan set out a clear framework for implementation and monitoring?

[Policy DC1: Developer Contributions]

[Chapter 11 Implementation]

[Chapter 12 Monitoring indicators]

Questions

Policy DC1: Developer contributions

26.1 Are older person housing schemes comprising 10 or more units expected to contribute towards education and health facilities and open space? What is the Council's justification for this approach?

1. The HBF considers that this is a question for the Council. However, the HBF does not consider that this approach is justified for older persons housing, and additionally Table 10.12 of the Viability Assessment highlights the viability challenges for older persons housing.

26.2 Should Infrastructure Delivery Plans be produced for sites other than strategic housing sites?

2. The HBF considers that this is a question for the Council.

26.3 Is proposed modification RH7 (in CD31), adding the term 'social value' into the Glossary, necessary for soundness reasons?

3. The HBF considers that it is not necessary to add the term social value into the Glossary for soundness reasons.

Monitoring indicators

26.4 Do the monitoring indicators in Chapter 12 provide sufficient detail on targets, relevant policies and delivery mechanisms to allow effective monitoring of the policies in the Plan?

4. The Council has set out a series of indicators to monitor progress against, and suggests that the Authority Monitoring Reports will be used to review and assess the Policies.
5. The HBF recommends that the Council include an appropriate monitoring framework which sets out the monitoring indicators along with the relevant policies, the data source and where they will be reported, this should also include the targets that the Plan is hoping to achieve and actions to be taken if the targets are not met. The HBF recommends that the Council provide more details as to how the plan will actually be monitored, and identifies when, why and how actions will be taken to address any issues identified.

26.5 Should the monitoring indicator on net change in open space be split to provide information on different types of open space and sports facilities, as defined in Policy GS1 and requested by Sport England?

26.6 Is the Council's proposed change SV25 to the heritage monitoring indicator (as set out in document CD31) necessary for reasons of soundness?